

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,588	11/05/2003	Ziv Reich	26749	6409
7590 06/11/2007 Martin D. Moynihan		EXAMINER		
PRTSI, Inc.		OLSEN, KAJ K		
P. O. Box 16446 Arlington, VA 22215			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1753	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/700,588	REICH ET AL.		
(Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	·	Kaj K. Olsen	1753		
Th Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication app ply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHICHEN - Extensions - after SIX (6 - If NO perio - Failure to n Any reply n	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY /ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we apply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, accived by the Office later than three months after the mailing ant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of	of Claims				
 4) Claim(s) 1-151 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-151 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
10)⊡ The App Rep	specification is objected to by the Examiner drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accessicant may not request that any objection to the clacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctionath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
2) Notice of D 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) //Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dail 5) Notice of Informal Pail 6) Other:	te		

Application/Control Number: 10/700,588 Page 2

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species A, method or device for monitoring dripping (claims 1-17, 54-76, and 101-116); Species B, method or device for fluid locomotion (claims 18-35, 77-100, and 117-133); and Species C, method or device for monitoring fluid locomotion (claims 36-53 and 134-151). The species are independent or distinct because these are disclosed as being structurally distinct devices.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. A telephone call was made to Martin Moynihan on 5-10-2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 1753

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaj Olsen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1344. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M..

Application/Control Number: 10/700,588 Page 4

Art Unit: 1753

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen, can be reached on 571-272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AU 1753 May 29, 2007

> KAJ K. OLSEN PRIMARY EXAMINER